UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUL 2 7 2005

RELATED CASE ORDER

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related or a Sua Sponte Judicial Referral for Purpose of Determining Relationship (Civil L.R. 3-12) has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case below that bears my initials, I find that the more recently filed case(s) that I have initialed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such case(s) shall be reassigned to me. Any cases listed below that are not related to the case assigned to me are referred to the judge assigned to the next-earliest filed case for a related case determination.

C 05-03028 WDB

Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. -v- Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02669 MHP

Lipton et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02699 JL

Prohias v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me

C 05-02700 MHP

Konieczka, et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me

C 05-02720 JCS

Niehaus v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me

C 05-02721 JCS

Hamilton v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02743 SC Brauch et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

-1-

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA







C 05-02758 EMC Baxley v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02813 JL Frazier et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me./

C 05-02818 JL Dickerson v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02823 EDL The Harman Press v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02830 EDL Shanghai 1930 Restaurant Partners, L.P. v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02831 EDL Major League Softball, Inc. V. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02834 MHP Allanoff v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me,

C 05-02858 EDL Law Offices of Laurel Stanley et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

C 05-02859 WHA Lazio Family Products, v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

| C 05-02882 MEJ | Walker v. Intel Corporation |
|--|--|
| I find t | hat the above case is related to the case assigned to me. |
| C 05-02897 EDL | Stoltz v. Intel Corporation |
| I find t | hat the above case is related to the case assigned to me |
| C 05-02898 JCS | Naigow v. Intel Corporation |
| I find t | hat the above case is related to the case assigned to me. |
| C 05-02916 SC | Hewson v. Intel Corporation |
| I find t | hat the above case is related to the case assigned to me. |
| C 05-02957 MEJ | Lang v. Intel Corporation |
| I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. | |
| | ORDER |
| of the newly assigned conference in any reas dates for the conference Unless otherwise order noticed by the moving Local Rules remain in | structed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials judge immediately after the case number. Any case management signed case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the se, disclosures and report required by FRCivP 16 and 26 accordingly. The red, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be reparty before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the ADR effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continues for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned |
| Dated: 1/27/0 | Judge Marilyn H. Patel |
| , | |

CLERK'S NOTICE

The court has reviewed the motion and determined that no cases are related and no reassignments shall occur.

DATED: 7/27/05

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By:

ANTHONY WIBOWSER